

911 Coordinating Committee
November 4, 2011
Prepaid Wireless 911 Fee Update

Update:

- Ability to accept electronic payments are being finalized
- Creating data file for report generation
- Report programming will be completed by year's end
- Developing 2nd news release to business groups
- Developing a new release to newspapers to inform consumers & retailers
- Will be updating website next week with more detailed information for retailers including filing requirements, Q&A's on general questions
- Working with Department of Administration and State Treasurer on depositing of the money into the appropriate funds.
 - Deposit into the 911 State Maintenance Fund
 - Subsequently transferred to the 911 State Grant Fund.

Processing Overview:

- Retailers are required to file electronically.
- Payment of the fee would coincide with their sales tax return filing.
- Business will have two methods for filing the fee
 - They may elect to pay the fee through the Department's KSWebTax online business tax center, which would be an ACH Debit transaction.
 - 2nd option is they can initiate an ACH Credit from their bank. If remitting by ACH Credit, the retailer would be required to complete an Authorization for Electronic Funds Transfer (EF-101) form prior to filing the fee. (Available from within the KSWebTax application.)
 - Data being captured consists of federal ID number (FEIN), filing period, payment amount, payment date, deposit date.
- Monthly, department will certify to the Dept of Administration and State Treasurer the deposits made into the fund and any adjustments
- A detailed report will be available for internal use and for LCPA that will provide remittance data by filing period by retailer for the dates requested. The report will include the taxpayer name and mailing address.

911 Coordinating Committee
November 4, 2011
Prepaid Wireless 911 Fee Update

Purchases subject to the Prepaid Wireless 911 Fee.

In developing more in-depth information for retailers, there were discussions as to what purchases are subject to the Prepaid Wireless 911 Fee. We arrived at the following:

1. Cellular phones preloaded with a set dollar amount, minutes or units of air time, or sold with rebates for airtime;
2. Calling cards for cellular phones preloaded with a set dollar amount; minutes or units of air time
3. The recharging of a reusable cellular phone calling card;
4. The recharging of the cellular phone itself with additional minutes or units of air time.

The purchase of what are known as "phone cards" would not be subject to the fee as they are prepaid phone cards that can be used on a landline or cell phone - they are not specifically for the providing of wireless services.

Future Plans - May 2012

Review of the data collected to assist the Committee in arriving at a long term solution for the distribution of the prepaid fee in excess of \$2 million.

- Report on state sales tax collections by county and city and the percentage each city and county is of the total sales tax collections.
- Report on how the fee collected from providers is distributed across cities and counties. I will get the data this report from the LPCA.
- Report showing how sales tax is distributed across cities and counties for the businesses reporting the prepaid wireless 911 fee.
- Develop a comparison between the reports to show common geographic similarities between the provider fee, the prepaid wireless 911 fee, and sales tax collections.

Questions, Comments

Steven Brunkan
Office of Policy and Research
Kansas Dept of Revenue
785-291-3580
steven.brunkan@kdor.ks.gov

2800 SW Topeka Blvd.
Topeka, KS 66611-1287



phone: 785-274-1000
fax: 785-274-1682
www.kansastag.gov

Major General (KS) Lee E. Tafanelli
The Adjutant General and Director of
Emergency Management & Homeland Security

Adjutant General's Department

Sam Brownback, Governor

Pilot Sub-Committee
GIS Project Report

November 4th, 2011

All data delivered to Pro-West and Associates except the Kingman County Emergency Warning Call Database [EWCD].

Kingman County will be providing today or early next week.

Report from Pro-West and Associates on project status:

1. General topology errors within the road centerline layers appear to minimal
 - a. Exception to this is overlaps that are occurring in various mobile home parks
2. Road directionality and name consistency errors within the road centerline layers are minimal
3. Road segments are NOT split exactly on boundaries
4. Existing attributes are not compliant with NENA data standards
5. One County's data appears to have many unnecessary splits throughout the road network (when compared to the intersections and boundary data received).
6. Many boundary layers perimeters that are to follow in the same spatial region for any given amount of time are not spatially coincident.
7. Some records in the TN databases are missing road suffixes

911 State Grant Fund Philosophy and Criteria Discussion

October 7th Coordinating Council Meeting:

- Council responsible for Development of STRATEGIES for future enhancements to 911 system
- Council responsible for Development of Criteria for award of 911 State Grant Funds
- Theme of Discussion: Council needs to establish a framework for PSAP transition to NG911 and to encourage PSAPs to explore multi-jurisdictional and regional partnerships to realize the benefits of the NG911 network and services.
- Federal NG911 Pilot Grant Project will provide both learning and costs related to implementing an ESInet, GIS services, PSAP equipment and Technical Support Services.
- The Council needs to define a "PREFERRED FUTURE" and how it will employ grant funds to realize that future.
- Grant funds should be invested in the Common Good and to Improve 911 Service in Kansas. Grant funds should be used to implement Best Practices.
- **Grant Funds are to be used: (1) For NG911 services; (2) PSAP consolidation and cost-sharing projects; (3) Council expenses; (4) audits; (5) Other costs allowed for 911 fee expenditures.**

November 4th Coordinating Council Meeting Discussion:

- What is the "PREFERRED FUTURE" for 911 services in Kansas?
- Assuming that multi-jurisdictional partnerships are preferred, how should the Council encourage the formation of such partnerships for sharing services? What "partnership" models exist?
- What would be the most effective use of 911 State Grant funds in 2012? For 2013?
- When should we develop criteria for usage of 911 State Grant Funds? After the NG911 Pilot Project? For preparation of foundational services such as the ESInet and GIS database upgrades?